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We measure 2p → 3d → 2p resonant X-ray emission spectra (RXES) of d0 system (ScF3 and TiO2) and analyze them by means of MX6 (M=Sc or Ti, X=F or O, respectively) cluster model with full multiplet effects. We treat the whole RXES process as a coherent second order optical process and take the polarization dependence into account. The strong polarization dependence of the inelastic peak is clearly seen both in the experimental and calculated results. In order to clarify it, we investigate the detailed mechanism of the polarization dependent RXES by the total energy level diagram and the group theoretical consideration.
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§1. Introduction

High-energy spectroscopy, such as X-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS) and X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS), has played an important role for a long time in the study of electronic structures of strongly correlated systems such as 3d transition metal (TM) compounds. In addition to these conventional first order optical processes, X-ray emission spectroscopy (XES), which is second order optical process, attracts much attention, because recent development of the synchrotron light source and the experimental technique enable it to be observed and it has the advantage of the charge neutrality, bulk sensitivity, and so on. In XES, a core electron is excited by the incident X-ray photon, and then the excited state decays by emitting an X-ray photon. When the core electron is excited near the threshold of the X-ray absorption, the XES is denoted by resonant XES (RXES), while it is denoted by normal XES (NXES) when the core electron is excited to the continuum well above the threshold. RXES provides us with information complementary to XAS and XPS, the final states of which correspond to the intermediate states of RXES and NXES, respectively. Especially for RXES, we can select a specific intermediate state by tuning the incident photon energy, and obtain the spectra due to the transition from that intermediate state to final states.

The synchrotron radiation has remarkable characteristic of polarization. It is clear that we can get more information from RXES by taking the polarization dependence into account, but to date it has not been utilized very effectively. It is very interesting to apply the polarization dependence to RXES of 3d TM compounds, which are extremely important because they indicate many interesting physical phenomena, for example, metal-insulator transition, high-Tc superconductivity, etc.

We have measured the spectra of Sc 2p → 3d → 2p RXES of ScF3 and Ti 2p → 3d → 2p RXES of TiO2 (rutile) (for the experiment of TiO2, see also refs. 3, 4). We call these materials “d0 system”, since the ground state of the formally trivalent Sc and tetravalent Ti ions are described by the linear combinations of 3d0, 3d1p0, and 3d2p0 configurations due to the strong covalency hybridization with ligand states, where 3d0p0m denotes the state having n 3d electrons and m ligand holes. In these experiments, we take the incident photon polarization into account. The incident photon and the emitted photon are in the plane (scattering plane) normal to the sample surface, and the scattering angle is fixed to 90°. When the polarization vector of incident photon is parallel to the scattering plane, it is called the “depolarized” configuration, while when the polarization vector of incident photon is perpendicular to the scattering plane, it is called the “polarized” configuration (see Fig. 1). A dramatic change of the RXES spectra from the polarized to depolarized configurations will be shown for the d0 systems.

Recently, Uozumi and co-workers have applied a cluster model to the analysis of M2O3 (M=Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Fe) compounds, and have shown that the spectra obtained by XPS, valence XPS, bremsstrahlung isochromat spectroscopy (BIS), etc. can be explained consistently, and they also analyzed the electronic structures of early TM compounds systematically. In the present paper we analyze the Sc 2p → 3d → 2p RXES of ScF3 and the Ti 2p → 3d → 2p RXES of TiO2 taking the polarization dependence into account by following and extending their techniques appropriately. We compare the results with experimental ones, and clarify the origin of the polarization dependence of the RXES.

After describing the experimental results in §2, we give...
the model and the formalism used in our calculation of RXES in §3, and show the calculated results in §4. In §5 we discuss the mechanism of the RXES in these $d^0$ systems and the origin of its polarization dependence.

§2. Experimental Data

In this section we describe briefly the experimental method and show the experimental data of XAS and RXES for ScF$_3$ and TiO$_2$.

2.1 Experimental method

The experimental results were obtained by using a soft X-ray spectrometer$^7$ installed at the undulator beamline BL-2C$^8$ at Photon Factory, KEK. Synchrotron radiation was monochromatized using a varied-line spacing plain grating whose average groove density is 1000 lines/mm. The energy resolution of the incident photon was about 0.4 eV at 400 eV and 450 eV when we measured RXES, and was about 0.1 eV when we measured absorption spectra. The spectrometer is Rowland mount type with a laminar grating whose radius and groove density are 5 m and 1200 lines/mm. The incident angle of the soft X-ray to the sample surface was about 70° to avoid the self-absorption effect.

The absorption and emission spectra were measured in the depolarized and polarized configurations. The RXES spectra were measured with the spectrometer resolution of 0.6 eV at 400 eV for ScF$_3$, and 0.6 eV at 450 eV for TiO$_2$ with a 20 µm incident slit width of the spectrometer, respectively.

2.2 ScF$_3$

In Fig. 2(a) Sc 2p total electron yield (TEY) spectrum of ScF$_3$ is shown. This spectrum has mainly four peaks, and in the higher energy region (above ~415 eV) we can see some weak satellite structures.

In Fig. 2(b), the spectra of Sc 2p $\rightarrow$ 3d $\rightarrow$ 2p RXES of ScF$_3$ are shown where both polarized (solid line) and depolarized (dots) spectra are plotted as a function of the difference between the incident and emitted photon energies (denoted by Raman shift), i.e. 0 eV corresponds to the elastic scattering (Rayleigh line). The indices from a to h indicate incident photon energies which correspond to those indicated in Fig. 2(a). The structures seen at ~13 eV, ~15 eV, etc. originate from the inelastic scattering. We can see the difference in the spectra of the polarized and depolarized configurations clearly. In the polarized configuration the elastic peak (at 0 eV) is greatly enhanced, so they are plotted with the intensity scale reduced by factor 20, while in the depolarized configuration the elastic peak is hardly seen. A more important point is that when incident photon energies are

Fig. 2. Experimental results of ScF$_3$. (a) Sc 2p XAS obtained by TEY. The vertical bars with indices represent the excitation energy. (b) Sc 2p $\rightarrow$ 3d $\rightarrow$ 2p RXES. This is plotted as a function of Raman shift. Both polarized (solid line) and depolarized (dots) spectra are plotted. The elastic peaks of both spectra are divided by 20.

Fig. 1. The schematic configuration of the experiment. (a) Polarized configuration: the polarization vector of the incident photon ($\mathbf{e}^{(i)}$) is perpendicular to the scattering plane. (b) Depolarized configuration: the polarization vector of the incident photon is parallel to the scattering plane. In both configurations, scattering angle is fixed to 90°.
set at f and h (satellite structures in XAS), the intensity of the inelastic peak around 16 eV is dramatically enhanced in the polarized configuration, while it is not enhanced in the depolarized configuration.

### 2.3 TiO$_2$

In Fig. 3(a), the Ti 2p TEY spectrum of TiO$_2$ is shown. As a whole its structure resembles that of ScF$_3$, that is, it has four main peaks between 455 eV and 465 eV (the second peak splits further into two peaks in more detail), and in the higher energy region there are some weak satellites.

In Fig. 3(b), the spectra of the Ti 2p $\rightarrow$ 3d $\rightarrow$ 2p RXES of TiO$_2$ are shown, in which both polarized (solid line) and depolarized (dots) spectra are plotted as a function of the Raman shift. In the polarized configuration, the elastic peak and the inelastic peak at about 14 eV are enhanced for the incident photon energies at the main peak and the satellite, respectively. However, these peaks are almost forbidden in the depolarized configuration. The inelastic spectra from 6 to 9 eV are allowed for both polarized and depolarized configurations. In addition to these structures, there are two broad peaks shifting to the higher energy side with the increase of incident photon energy.

The symmetry is exactly $O_h$ for ScF$_3$, but for TiO$_2$ it is $D_{2h}$. The deviation from $O_h$ in TiO$_2$, however, is small, so that we treat TiO$_2$ approximately as the $O_h$ symmetry.

The Hamiltonian used in this calculation is given by

$$ H = H_{\text{atom}} + H_v + H_{\text{mix}}, \quad (3.1) $$

where

$$ H_{\text{atom}} = \sum_\nu \varepsilon_\nu(d_\nu^\dagger d_\nu) + \sum_\mu \varepsilon_\mu(p_\mu^\dagger p_\mu) + U_{dd} \sum_{\nu,\nu'} d_\nu^\dagger d_{\nu'}^\dagger d_{\nu'} d_\nu - U_{de} \sum_{\nu,\mu} d_\nu^\dagger p_\mu (1 - c_\mu^\dagger c_\mu) + H_{\text{multiplet}}, \quad (3.2) $$

$$ H_v = \sum_\nu \varepsilon_\nu v_{\nu}^\dagger v_\nu, \quad (3.3) $$

$$ H_{\text{mix}} = \sum_\nu V(\nu)(d_\nu^\dagger v_\nu + v_\nu^\dagger d_\nu). \quad (3.4) $$

Here $d_\nu^\dagger (d_\nu)$, $p_\mu^\dagger (p_\mu)$, $v_{\nu}^\dagger (v_\nu)$ are electron creation (annihilation) operators for 3d, core, X 2p orbitals, respectively. $\nu$ and $\mu$ denote the combined indices representing the spin ($\sigma$) and orbital ($\Gamma$) states, and $\Gamma$ runs over $t_{2g}$ and $e_g$, which are irreducible representations of $O_h$. The first term $H_{\text{atom}}$ describes the M atomic state including the 3d state. The second and third terms, $H_v$ and $H_{\text{mix}}$, describe the X 2p molecular orbitals and the hybridization between the M 3d state and the X 2p molecular orbitals.

The first and second terms of $H_{\text{atom}}$ denote the one particle energies for M 3d and 2p states, respectively, the third and fourth terms denote the Coulomb interac-
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The spin-orbit interactions for M 3d and 2p states are also included in $H_{\text{multipolet}}$. The charge transfer (CT) energy $\Delta$ is defined as $\Delta = E(d^5g) - E(d^4)$, where $g$ denotes a hole in the X 2p orbital and $E(d^5g)$ and $E(d^4)$ denote the configuration averaged energies of $d^5g$ and $d^4$ configurations, respectively. In this calculation we treat $\Delta$, $U_{dd}$, $U_{dc}$, $V(e_g) = -2V(t_{2g})$, for simplicity, and the crystal field splitting energy $10Dq = (\varepsilon(e_g) - \varepsilon(t_{2g}))$ as adjustable parameters. The Slater integrals $F^k$ and $G^k$, and the spin-orbit coupling constant $\zeta$ are obtained by the atomic Hartree-Fock calculation, and then the Slater integrals are reduced to 85 %. We describe the wave functions of the system by linear combinations of three different configurations. The ground state of the $d^0$ system is written as follows:

$$|g\rangle = c_0|d^0\rangle + c_1|d^1\rangle + c_2|d^2\rangle,$$

(3.5)

where the three configurations are mixed with each other through the hybridization. The final state is also given by the same form as eq. (3.5). Similarly the intermediate state of the RXES (final state of XAS) can be described by linear combinations of $|d^1\rangle$, $|d^2\rangle$, and $|d^3\rangle$ as

$$|m\rangle = c'_0|d^0\rangle + c'_1|d^1\rangle + c'_2|d^2\rangle,$$

(3.6)

The XAS spectrum is expressed as

$$F_{\text{XAS}}(\Omega) = \sum_m |\langle m|T_1|g\rangle|^2 \delta (\Omega - E_m + E_g),$$

(3.7)

where $\Omega$ is the incident photon energy, $|g\rangle$ and $|m\rangle$ are the ground state and the final states of XAS, respectively, with the energies $E_g$ and $E_m$. We treat the whole RXES process as a coherent second order optical process, and hence for RXES we use the following formula:

$$F(\Omega, \omega) = \sum_f \sum_m |\langle m|T_2|f\rangle| |\langle m|T_1|g\rangle| \delta (\Omega - E_g - E_m - i\Gamma_m)^2$$

$$\times \delta (\Omega - E_g + \omega - E_f),$$

(3.8)

where $|g\rangle$ and $|m\rangle$ are the same as those in eq. (3.7) and $|f\rangle$ denotes the final states of RXES with energies $E_f$. $T_1$ and $T_2$ are the electric dipole transition operators. The incident and emitted photon energies are represented by $\Omega$ and $\omega$, respectively, and the Raman shift is defined by $\Omega - \omega$.

3.2 Polarization dependence

In order to take into account the polarization dependence of RXES, we transform eq. (3.8) into a suitable form. Using the polarization vector $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_x, \varepsilon_y, \varepsilon_z)$ and the normalized spherical harmonics

$$C_q^{(k)} = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2k + 1}} Y_{kq},$$

(3.9)

the electric dipole transition operator $T$, either of $T_1$ or $T_2$, is written, apart from an unimportant factor, as follows:

$$T = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} (\varepsilon_x - i\varepsilon_y) C_1^{(i)}$$

$$+ \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} (\varepsilon_x + i\varepsilon_y) C_2^{(i)} + \varepsilon_z C_0^{(i)}.$$

(3.10)

It is convenient to represent the whole system by spherical coordinates (see Fig. 4). The $z$-axis is set to one of the M-X axes of the MX$_6$ cluster, and included in the scattering plane (shaded plane). When the incident photon is in the depolarized configuration, the incident polarization vector $\varepsilon^{(i)}$ is in the scattering plane, i.e. $\varepsilon^{(i)} \parallel \hat{e}_\theta$, where $\hat{e}_\theta$ is the primitive vector of $\theta$, while when it is in the polarized configuration it is perpendicular to the scattering plane, i.e. $\varepsilon^{(i)} \perp \hat{e}_\theta$. In the depolarized configuration $\varepsilon^{(i)}$ is described by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_x^{(i)} \\ \varepsilon_y^{(i)} \\ \varepsilon_z^{(i)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \cos \phi \\ \cos \theta \sin \phi \\ -\sin \theta \end{pmatrix},$$

(3.11)

while in the polarized configuration $\varepsilon^{(i)}$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_x^{(i)} \\ \varepsilon_y^{(i)} \\ \varepsilon_z^{(i)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\sin \phi \\ \cos \phi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. $$

(3.12)

The electric dipole transition operator $T_1$ of the two cases are written as follows:

$$T_1 = -\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \cos \theta e^{-i\phi} C_1^{(i)} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \cos \theta e^{i\phi} C_1^{(i)}$$

$$- \sin \theta C_0^{(i)} \ (\text{depolarized}),$$

(3.13)

$$T_1 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-i\phi} C_1^{(i)} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} e^{i\phi} C_1^{(i)}, \ (\text{polarized}).$$

(3.14)

Furthermore, since the spectral shape in $O_h$ symmetry is independent of the direction of incident photon when the scattering angle is fixed at $90^\circ$, we assume the grazing incidence along $x$-axis, i.e. $\theta = 90^\circ$, and $\phi = 0^\circ$, for
simplicity.
In the X-ray emission process, we average over all the polarizations of the emitted photon because the polarization of the emitted photon is not detected in experimental measurements.

After all, we can rewrite eq. (3.8) as follows:

\[
F(\Omega, \omega) = \sum_f \left| \sum_{q, q' = \pm 1} \frac{\langle f | C_q^{(1)} | m \rangle \langle m | C_{q'}^{(1)} | g \rangle}{\Omega + E_g - E_m - i\Gamma_m} \right|^2 \times \delta \left( \Omega + E_g - \omega - E_f \right) \quad \text{(depolarized)},
\]

\[
F(\Omega, \omega) = \sum_f \left| \sum_{q, q' = \pm 1} \frac{\langle f | C_q^{(1)} | m \rangle \langle m | C_{q'}^{(1)} | g \rangle}{\Omega + E_g - E_m - i\Gamma_m} \right|^2 \times \delta \left( \Omega + E_g - \omega - E_f \right) \quad \text{(polarized)}.
\]

### §4. Numerical Results

In this section we show the calculated results. The parameter values used in this calculation are shown in Table I. In addition, we take into account the effect of configuration-dependent hybridization\(^{11, 12}\) by introducing two factors \(R_c\) and \(R_v\): Since M 3d wave function is contracted when a 2p hole is created, the hybridization strength \(V(\Gamma)\) between 3d\(^9\) and 3d\(^{10}\) configurations is reduced to \(R_c V(\Gamma)\) between \(d^2_{\perp}\) and \(d^2_{\parallel}\) configurations. Meanwhile, the hybridization between 3d\(^{10}\) and 3d\(^{11}\) is enhanced to \(V(\Gamma)/R_v\) because the 3d wave function is more extended with increasing 3d electron number \(R_v\) and \(R_v\) being both less than unity).

XAS spectra are convoluted with the Lorentzian and Gaussian functions with the half width at half maximum (HWHM) \(\Gamma_L = 0.1\) eV and \(\Gamma_G = 0.5\) eV, respectively, while all RXES spectra are convoluted with only the Gaussian functions with the same HWHM value as XAS.

#### 4.1 ScF\(_3\)

In Fig. 5(a), we show the calculated result of XAS. The spectrum is split into two states, \(t_{2g}\) and \(e_g\) due to the crystal field, and each state is split due to the spin-orbit interaction of the Sc 2p core level. Thus, the main XAS structure consists of four peaks. In addition to them, there are very weak satellites on the higher energy side of the main structure. This result is in good agreement with experimental one (see Fig. 2(a)).

In Fig. 5(b), we show the calculated result of RXES. Both spectra in the polarized (solid line) and depolarized (dotted line) configurations are plotted as a function of the Raman shift. The indices from \(a\) to \(h\) indicate the incident photon energies which correspond to those indicated in Fig. 5(a). We can see that in the polarized configuration the elastic peak at 0 eV and the inelastic peak around 16 eV are greatly enhanced when incident photon energy is set to the main peak and the satellite

---

Table I. Solid state parameters (eV) used in the calculation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>(\Delta)</th>
<th>(U_{2d})</th>
<th>(V(e_g))</th>
<th>(U_{dc})</th>
<th>(10Dq)</th>
<th>(R_c)</th>
<th>(R_v)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ScF(_3)</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO(_2)</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Fig. 5. Calculated results of ScF\(_3\). (a) Sc 2p XAS spectrum. (b) Sc 2p → 3d → 2p RXES. The polarized spectra are plotted by solid line, while the depolarized spectra are plotted by dotted line. These are both plotted as a function of Raman Shift. The indices from \(a\) to \(h\) correspond to those indicated in XAS spectrum, and they are the incident photon energies of the RXES. Spectra \(a, c, d, e\) are divided by factor 10, 30, 30, 30, respectively. When the incident photon energies are fixed at the satellite of XAS (i.e. \(g, h\)), the polarized spectra around 16 eV are dramatically enhanced, whereas the depolarized ones not enhanced.
of the XAS, respectively. On the other hand, both 0 eV and 16 eV peaks are forbidden in the depolarized configuration. In addition to them, we can see two peaks at about 12 eV and 14 eV in both polarized and depolarized configurations. When the incident photon energy is set to the $t_{2g}$ excited state of XAS, for example for $a$ and $d$, the lower energy peak (at 12 eV) is enhanced, whereas for the $e_g$ excited state, for example for $c$ and $e$, the higher energy peak (at 14 eV) is enhanced. These results are in good agreement with experimental data (Fig. 2(b)).

4.2 TiO$_2$

In Fig. 6(a), the calculated result of XAS is shown. Similarly to ScF$_3$, the main XAS structure consists of four peaks due to the spin-orbit splitting and the crystal field splitting, and weak satellite structures are seen on the higher energy side of the main structure. However some difference between the calculated and experimental results is seen. The second peak of the main structure is split into two in the experiment, but only one peak is seen in the calculation. This discrepancy is due to our approximation that the local symmetry around Ti is not $D_{2h}$ but $O_h$, as mentioned in §3. The lower symmetry calculation reproduces this splitting correctly.\(^{13}\)

In Fig. 6(b), we show the calculated results of RXES. In the polarized configuration, the elastic peak and the inelastic peak around 14 eV are greatly enhanced when the incident photon energy is set to the main peak and the satellite of the XAS, respectively, whereas they are forbidden in the depolarized configuration. The inelastic peaks at about 7 eV and 9 eV are allowed in both polarized and depolarized configurations. The 7 eV peak is enhanced when the incident photon energy is set to the $t_{2g}$ excited state of XAS, while the 9 eV peak is enhanced when it is set to the $e_g$ excited state.

We cannot reproduce the peaks shifting to the higher energy side as the incident photon energy is increased (indicated by the vertical bars in Fig. 3(b)). Some discussion on these peaks will be given in §5.

§5. Discussion

In the case of $d^0$ system, both the experimental and calculated results show the conspicuous difference between the RXES spectra in the polarized and depolarized configurations. Here we discuss the mechanism of the RXES and its polarization dependence. We show the total energy level scheme of $d^0$ system in Fig. 7, where only the two lowest energy configurations are considered and the spin-orbit splitting of the 2p states and the crystal field splitting of the 3d states are neglected for simplicity.
The initial and final states consist of three types of electronic states: the bonding and antibonding states between \( d^0 \) and \( d^1 \) configurations and nonbonding \( d^0 \) states. The energy separation between the bonding and antibonding states \( \Delta E_{ab}(g) \) is estimated as follows:

\[
E_{ab}(g) = \sqrt{\Delta^2 + 4V_{eff}^2},
\]

where \( V_{eff} \) is the effective hybridization expressed as \( \sqrt{V^2(t_{2g})^2 + 4V(e_g)^2} \). The ground state is the bonding state and the antibonding state is located about 16 eV and 14 eV above the bonding state for ScF\(_3\) and TiO\(_2\), respectively. The nonbonding states are located close to the antibonding state for ScF\(_3\), while almost in the middle of the antibonding and bonding states for TiO\(_2\), because the charge transfer energy \( \Delta \) of ScF\(_3\) is much larger than that of TiO\(_2\).

The intermediate states are the bonding and antibonding states between 2pd\(^1\) and 2pd\(^2\) configurations, and the 2p\(^2\) nonbonding states. The energy separation between the bonding and antibonding states \( \Delta E_{ab}(m) \) is estimated as follows:

\[
E_{ab}(m) = \sqrt{\Delta^2 - U_{dc}^2 + 4(Re V_{eff}/Rv)^2}.
\]

The main peak and the satellite of M 2p XAS correspond to the bonding and antibonding intermediate states, respectively, whose energy separation is about 13 eV for ScF\(_3\) and about 12 eV for TiO\(_2\). The intensity of the satellite is very weak due to the phase cancellation between the wave functions of the ground and intermediate states. The transition to the nonbonding states is almost forbidden.

By the radiative transition from the intermediate states, each of the final states (bonding, antibonding and nonbonding states) can be reached. The RXES spectra of the elastic peak and the 16 eV (for ScF\(_3\)) inelastic peak (14 eV for TiO\(_2\)) correspond, respectively, to the bonding and antibonding final states, while the inelastic spectra in between them correspond to the nonbonding final states. When the incident photon energy is fixed at the main peak of 2p XAS, which corresponds to the bonding intermediate states, the transition to the bonding final state is strong, but that to the antibonding final state is very weak. For the transition to the nonbonding final states, if the incident photon energy is tuned to the \( t_{2p}(e_g) \) peak of XAS, the nonbonding \( d^1 \) final states with \( d = t_{2p}(e_g) \) is enhanced due to the overlap of wave functions in the intermediate and final states. On the other hand, when the incident photon energy is fixed at the satellite of 2p XAS, which corresponds to the antibonding intermediate state, the transition to the antibonding final state is strong, but that to the bonding and nonbonding states are weak.

The polarization dependence in RXES is explained by a group theoretical consideration. According to the Wigner-Eckart theorem, the optical transition matrix element is described as the Clebsch-Gordan (CG) coefficient:

\[
\langle \alpha \Gamma' | \mathbf{T} | \beta \rangle \propto \langle \Gamma' | \Gamma | \mathbf{T} \rangle.
\]

Here \( \alpha \) and \( \alpha' \) denotes the electron configuration, \( \Gamma \) and \( \Gamma' \) denotes the irreducible representation of the group, and \( \mathbf{T} \) denotes the base of irreducible representations. Because only the electric dipole transition is considered here, \( \mathbf{T} \) is \( T_{1u} \). The transition occurs only when the CG coefficient is nonzero. Some CG coefficients in the \( \Gamma_8 \) symmetry are given in Tables II and III. The ground state of the \( d^0 \) system is an \( A_{1g} \) state. In the depolarized and polarized configurations, as shown in §3, the polarization of the incident photon is in the \( z \) and \( y \) directions, respectively, where the \( y \) direction is taken to be normal with the scattering plane \((xz)\) plane, so that the possible basis of \( T_{1u} \) is \( z \) and \( y \), respectively. Therefore, the transition from the ground state to the intermediate state is allowed only when \( \langle \Gamma' | \mathbf{T} \rangle \langle \Gamma | \mathbf{T} \rangle \) (depolarized configuration) and \( \langle \Gamma' | \mathbf{T} \rangle \langle \Gamma | \mathbf{T} \rangle \) (polarized configuration) are nonzero.

From the Table II the absorption process (transition from the ground state to the intermediate state) is limited as follows:

\[
A_{1g} \otimes T_{1u,x} = T_{1u,x} \quad \text{(depolarized)},
\]

\[
A_{1g} \otimes T_{1u,y} = T_{1u,y} \quad \text{(polarized)}.
\]

In the transition from the intermediate to final states, which is also the dipole transition, the polarization of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \Gamma' \gamma' )</th>
<th>( \Gamma \gamma )</th>
<th>( T_{1u,x} )</th>
<th>( T_{1u,y} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( T_1 \alpha )</td>
<td>( T_1 \beta )</td>
<td>( T_1 \gamma )</td>
<td>( T_1 \gamma )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A_{1g} e_1 )</td>
<td>( T_1 \alpha )</td>
<td>( T_1 \beta )</td>
<td>( T_1 \gamma )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the emitted photon is in the \( x \) and \( y \) directions, i.e. the possible basis of \( T_{1u} \) is \( x \) and \( y \). Similarly to the absorption process, from the Table III the emission process (transition from the intermediate to final states) is limited as follows:

\[ T_{1u,\gamma} \otimes T_{1u,\gamma} = T_{1g}, T_{2g} \quad (\gamma = \{ x, y \}), \]

(5.6)

\[ T_{1u,\gamma} \otimes T_{1u,\gamma} = A_{1g}, E_{g}, T_{1g}, T_{2g} \quad (\gamma = \{ x, y \}). \]

(5.7)

Hence, in the depolarized configuration the final states of \( T_{1g} \) and \( T_{2g} \) are allowed, while in the polarized configuration those of \( A_{1g}, E_{g}, T_{1g} \) and \( T_{2g} \) are allowed. It is to be noted that the bonding and antibonding final states are in the same irreducible representation \( A_{1g} \), while the nonbonding states which do not couple with \( A_{1g} \) state are in the irreducible representations \( T_{1g}, T_{2g}, E_{g}, \cdots \) (which originate from the product of the symmetries \( d \) and \( d \)). Therefore, the elastic peak and the antibonding inelastic peak are allowed (forbidden) for the polarized (depolarized) configuration, while the nonbonding inelastic peaks are allowed for both polarized and depolarized configurations.

In the rest of this section, we discuss the origin of the spectra indicated by the vertical bars in Fig. 3(b). The energy position of these bars changes almost proportionally to the change of the incident photon energy, so that the emitted photon energy is almost independent of the incident photon energy, similarly to NXES spectra. In this sense, we call these spectra “NXES-like spectra”. Recently Idé and Kotani calculated RXES with a one-dimensional \( d-p \) model (a simplified version of the \( d^0 \) system) with multi-TM sites.\(^{10}\) They showed that NXES-like spectra are absent in the cluster with a single TM site, but for larger clusters NXES-like spectra occur to some extent above the XAS threshold because of the existence of spatially extending XAS final states (RXES intermediate states) as a result of multi-TM sites effect. Therefore, in order to reproduce the NXES-like spectra of \( TiO_2 \), it would be necessary to extend the cluster size to that larger than the present \( TiO_6 \) cluster.
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